Aquaculture Stewardship Council and Marine Stewardship Council

ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) Surveillance Reporting Template [Select number of Report 1st, 2nd]

Version 1.0 (issued 7 March 2018)





Program documents:

ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) Standard

ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) Certification and Accreditation Requirements

This document is to be cited as:

ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) Surveillance Reporting Template v1.0

Versions	Versions issued			
Version	/ersion			
no.	Date	Description of amendment		
1.0	7-Mar-18	N/A - new document		

Production unit name: euglena Co., Ltd. Algae farms

Client Contact details: 5-29-11 Shiba, minato-ku, Tokyo, 105-0014 Japan CAB contact details: 3-2-4 Kudankita, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 102-0073 Japan

Authors: Naoya Ogawa Date: 3rd January 2019



The Aquaculture Stewardship Council and the Marine Stewardship Council "ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) Surveillance Reporting Template" and its content is copyright of "Aquaculture Stewardship Council and Marine Stewardship Council" - © "Aquaculture Stewardship Council" "Marine Stewardship Council" 2018. All rights reserved.

Instructions for using the Surveillance Reporting Template

This Reporting Template should be used for surveillance audits

In this template you will find tabs for each section of the report.

Each tab has instructions of what is required within that section of the report. Sometimes an instruction can be traced to an individual requirement in the CAR or Standard. At other times, an instruction represents an amalgam and rationalisation of multiple requirements.

Additional supporting material/s can be attached as a PDF Annex.

Any references used to support statements in the evaluation tables of the reports shall be included in the 'References' section of the table and an in-text reference (e.g. number or author, date) made to the relevant source

General Information

	lv		
Name of the production	Yaeyama Shokusan Co.,Ltd.		
unit			
Target species common	euglena, chlorella		
name/s			
Species Latin name	Euglena gracilis, Chlorella sorokiniana		
Production system	Inland farming / artificial seedlings		
Location of the	287-14 Shiraho Ishigaki-city Okinawa 907-0242 Japan		
production unit			
Stock Region	Not applicable as this is category Cii. No wild stock is used.		
Receiving water body	Shiraho Sea area, Ishigaki Island, Okinawa		
Clients part of the	Yaeyama Shokusan Co.,Ltd.		
production unit			
Facilities	Incubators, culture tanks, culture pools, separators, drying machines,		
	packing machines, refrigerators, warehouses, boilers		
Unit of Certification (if	UoC is the same as UoA.		
different)			
Client	euglena Co.,Ltd.		
	Yuta Asayama		
	Production Engineering Development Department Quality Assurance		
	Section Manager		
	asayama@euglena.jp		
	Phone Number: +81-90-6587-4027		
	5-29-11 Shiba, minato-ku, Tokyo, 105-0014 Japan		
Conformity Assessment	AMITA Corporation		
Body (CAB)	Name of assessment team leader : Naoya Ogawa		
	Name of first point of contact for the assessment (if different to the		
	assessment team leader).: same as above		
	ninsho@amita-net.co.jp		
	Phone Number: +81-3-5215-8326		
	3-2-4 Kudankita, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, 102-0073 Japan		

Date certified	23rd January 2019 (issued)
	Surveillance 1 (S1)

Audit Plan

Assessment team (add or delete rows as needed)	Name	Summary of qualification
Team leader Social auditor	Naoya Ogawa	Contract auditor of AMITA Corporation. Qualified auditor of environmental and social aspects of ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) standard. Qualified auditor by SA8000 basic and advanced course.
Team member 1	Wataru Koketsu	AMITA Corporation. Having academic background of aquatic ecology and fishery science, and entire fishery expert including algae. Took ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) standard training.
Team member 2	Chiko Tsukazaki	AMITA Corporation. Having academic background of fishery. OJT trainee auditor.Took ASC-MSC Seaweed (Algae) standard training.

Date of surveillance site visit	13th March 2020
Location	Yaeyama Shokusan Co.,Ltd. 287-14 Shiraho Ishigaki-city Okinawa 907-0242 Japan
Outline other surveillance activities	nene

Stakeholders interviewed

Name	Organisation	Position	Summary feedback received	
None				

Stakeholders written submission received

Note: All written submissions from stakeholders (if any) received during the audit should be attached as a PDF Annex

Name	Organisation	Position	Summary feedback received
None			

Employees interviewed

	Organisation	Position	Summary of feedback received
None			

Background information

Management system	
Relevant regulations	_
Personnel involved in the production unit	_
Scientific base of information, including stock assessments	_
Other new certified production units in the area	_
Any complaints or allegations of non-conformity with the ASC-MSC seaweed requirements	_
A sample of sites and records to verify that the management systems are effective and consistent covering any high-risk areas or personnel changes	
Any changes affecting the operation's traceability, chain of custody, or the ability to trace certified products back to the unit of certification	——————————————————————————————————————
If no changes have been identified, that shall be stated here	There is no change since the last audit except for contact personel.

Summary of scoring table

Principle	ادِ	Performance Indicator	Level	Number of	Pass
Tiricipie	.	- onomianos maioator	Level	Conditions	1 033
				001101110110	
1	PI 1.1	Stock Status	N/A		Pass
	PI 1.2	Harvest strategy	N/A		
	PI 1.3	Genetic impact on wild	N/A	i	
	1	stock			
2	PI 2.1	Habitat	Target level		Pass
_	PI 2.2	Ecosystem structure	Minimum	1	
	i	and function	level		
	PI 2.3	ETP species	Target level	!	
	PI 2.4	Other species	Target level	i – – – – i	
	PI 2.5	Waste management	Target level	!	
	I 12.5	and pollution control	raigetiever		
	1	i and political control			
	PI 2.6	Pest(s) and disease(s)	Target level	:	
	1	and management		1	
	PI 2.7 PI 2.8	Energy efficiency	Target level	!	
	_	Translocations	N/A		
	PI 2.9	Introduction of alien	N/A		
3	PI 3.1	Legal and/or	Target level		Pass
Ü	1	customary framework	raigotiovoi	I	1 400
	PI 3.2	Decision-making	Target level	 	
	ا المالية	iprocesses		l	
	PI 3.3	Compliance and	Target level		
4	PI 4.1	enforcement Child labour			
_	PI 4.2	Forced, bonded or	Target level		
	Ĺ	<u> compulsory labour</u>			
	PI 4.3	Discrimination	Target level		
	PI 4.4	Health, safety and		i	
	PI 4.5	linsurance			
	P1 4.5	Fair and decent wages		i	
	PI 4.6	Freedom of			
	i	association and			
		collective bargaining _			
	PI 4.7	Disciplinary practices			
	PI 4.8	Working hours			
	PI 4.9	'Environmental and 'social training			
5	PI 5.1	Community impacts			
	PI 5.2	Conflict resolution			
	PI 5.3	Rights of indigenous		! i	
	<u>_</u>	groups	L	i	
	PI 5.4	Visibility, positioning	!	1	
	i	and orientation of			
	1	farms or water-based			
	PI 5.5	Identification and			
	1	recovery of substantial	Į.		
	 	qear	 		
	PI 5.6	Noise, light and odour		. – – – – -	
	_I PI 5.7	Decommissioning of labandoned farms or			
	i	water-based			
	l .	structures			

Table 4. Maximum number of conditions allowed for a certified UoA Production system category (as in Table 2)

	Production	system cat	egory (as in	i Table 2)	
Principle	A	Bi	Bii	Ci	Cii
P1	1	1	0	1	0
P2	2	2	2	2	2
P3	1	1	1	1	1
P4	2	2	2	2	2
P5	2	2	2	1	1
Total	8	8	7	7	6

Summary of conditions

Summary of conditions

Condition number	Condition	Timeframe	Performance Indicator	Scoring issue	Related to previously raised condition?	Action Plan (provided by the client in the review of the Client Draft Report)	Wording of condition changed?	Progress on Condition [Year x]	Status of condition
	The company shall provide evidences to support judgement that the UoA is highly unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function to the point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.	Within one year after Public Certification Report is issued. To be checked at next surveillance.	Okinawa Prefecture Yaeyama Health Center informed us that the production site is out of t scope of the Water Pollution Control Law. The only data that seems to be the minimum was acquired. We will collect more than 3 samples of seaward the production facilities and measure COD, T and Total N. It will be held by November 2018 and present a certificate of measurement results. YES Surveillance 1: Based on a survey design that can set hypoth and verify, collect 5 or more points of seaward the vicinity of the production base and measure COD, T-P, and T-N. Increase the N number of data and the numb spots to increase the validity of the evidences In addition, the publicly available water quality will be collected, referensed, and logically cowith the measured data.		informed us that the production site is out of the scope of the Water Pollution Control Law. Therefore, only data that seems to be the minimum was acquired. We will collect more than 3 samples of seawater near the production facilities and measure COD, Total P and Total N. It will be held by November 2018 and presented with a certificate of measurement results. Surveillance 1: Based on a survey design that can set hypotheses and verify, collect 5 or more points of seawater from the vicinity of the production base and measure COD, T-P, and T-N. Increase the N number of data and the number of spots to increase the validity of the evidences. In addition, the publicly available water quality data will be collected, referensed, and logically compared with the measured data. The hypothesis is verified and the conclusion is	YES	According to the action plan of Initial Audit, the farm conducted sampling surveys of seawater at three sites along the neighboring coast during the production period (26th June, 2018) and the non-production period (4th November, 2018). The survey was outsourced to a third-party measurement agency that has obtained permission from Okinawa Prefecture to analyze COD, T-P, and T-N. The results were analyzed and submitted to this Surveillance Audit. From the analysis results, it was confirmed that there was no significant difference between the production period and the non-production period. However, only water quality data was submitted, and there was no clear evidence of the impact of this water quality on the ecosystem. It was judged to be insufficient as a basis for the fact that the facility is "highly unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function to the point where there would be serious or irreversible harm". After the Surveillance 1 audit, the "revised action plan" document was received, and the Audit team judged that the content was appropriate. In the future, it is required to share and confirm necessary factors such as survey design and hypotheses before conducting the survey, and then conduct the survey, verify the hypothesis, discuss and conclude with written document. It was judged that Euglena Co., Ltd. took all the necessary actions, responding to the conditions of the initial audit according to their "action plan". However, since the result is not satisfactory, the condition is extended for one year on the assumption that the action plan shall be revised and properly conducted.	Continue	
	The company shall develop a documented strategy that is expected to prevent the spread of pests or diseases.	Within one year after Public Certification Report is issued. To be checked at next surveillance.	2.6	а	N/A	We have confirmed the abnormality of the target raw material each time at the time of cultivation, and since disease to the target raw material has never been reported so far, we have not prepared any additional measures. We will develop a documented strategy that is expected to prevent the spread of pests or diseases in case of detection of them for target algae species. We will create the documented strategy by November 2018 and present it.	N/A	A "Procedure for Infectious Diseases" (15th November, 2018) was created and this document was confirmed by this Surveillance Audit. The treatment procedure described the disease infection of algae and the operation flow at the time of disease infection. The operation flow described the command and command system from the time of the abnormality detection, and the operation method at the site. It was judged that this procedure manual took measures that could be expected to prevent the spread of pests and diseases.	Closed

3 ris	The company shall provide evidence that the sk of forced, bonded or compulsory labour as been minimised.	Within one year after Public Certification Report is issued. To be checked at next surveillance.	4.2	a	N/A	Since forced, bonded or compulsory labour have never been reported, we did not distribute the document and make employees understanding of documents. We will will explain workers about the "Approach to employment and work" through morning meetings etc., and will provide workers with the policy and create distribution records. By November 2018, we will present a photograph at the time of explanation and distribution completion record.	The record explaining "the concept regarding employment / working" to employees was confirmed in the Surveillance Audit. According to the records, Mr. Ryohei Nakano (representative director) of Yaeyama Shokusan Co., Ltd. conducted the education and training on 17th November, 2018 and 20th November, 2018, and the attendance record was stored with the names of the participants. "The concept regarding employment / working" clearly states that forced, bonded or compulsory labour is not allowed, and a consultation desk was also set up. The policy is also stored in the company's shared folder and can be viewed by employees at any time. Counseling counters are distributed to employees using the "Euglena Group Hotline" card, and are also posted at noticeable places such as station-rooms and toilets. Based on the above, it was determined that the risk of forced, bonded or compulsory labour was minimized.
4 ris	The company shall provide evidence that the sk of discrimination covering all aspects of otential discrimination has been minimised.	Within one year after Public Certification Report is issued. To be checked at next surveillance.	4.3	а	N/A	Since discrimination have never been reported, we did not distribute the document and make employees understanding of documents. We will will explain workers about the "Approach to employment and work" through morning meetings etc., and will provide workers with the policy and create distribution records. By November 2018, we will present a photograph at the time of explanation and distribution completion record.	The record explaining "the concept regarding employment / working" to employees was confirmed in the Surveillance Audit. According to the records, Mr. Ryohei Nakano (representative director) of Yaeyama Shokusan Co., Ltd. conducted the education and training on 17th November, 2018 and 20th November, 2018, and the attendance record was stored with the names of the participants. "The concept regarding employment / working" clearly states that employer and employee do not discriminate based on country of origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political party, age, etc. nor do not order descrimination. A consultation desk for descrimination was also set up. The policy is also stored in the company's shared folder and can be viewed by employees at any time. Counseling counters are distributed to employees using the "Euglena Group Hotline" card, and are also posted at noticeable places such as station-rooms and toilets. Euglena Headquarters personnel reported that there have never been complaints of discrimination. Based on the above, it was determined that the risk of discrimination was minimized.

[Create new rows as required]

Production system category (as in Table 2)				
A	Bi	Bii	Ci	Cii
1	1	0	1	0
2	2	2	2	2
1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	2
2	2	2	1	1
8	8	7	7	6
	Production system category (as in Table 2) A 1 2 1 2 2 8		Production system category (as in Table 2) A Bi Bii 1 0 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 8 7	

Conclusion

Summary of findings	No major problems were observed in the Surveillance Audit. Corrective actions were taken within the deadline for all four
	conditions found in the Initial Audit. Three conditions were closed and one was continued.
	-Drainage has not been found to affect the surrounding environment for many years. However, it was judged that the data for only
	water quality that is surveyed after the Initial Audit was not enough as evidence to close the condition.
	After the Surveillance 1 audit, the "revised action plan" document was received, and the Audit team judged that the content was
	appropriate. In the future, it is required to share and confirm necessary factors such as survey design and hypotheses before
	conducting the survey, and then conduct the survey, verify the hypothesis, discuss and conclude with written document.
	-There have been no cases of other pests or pathogens occurring during the algal culture, and no case reports at other culture facilities. However, a procedure manual was prepared in case of emergency.
	- A policy on "the concept regarding employment / working", which is a policy for employees as a company, was prepared.
	Although no problems have been reported regarding the employment environment so far, this policy has been widely distributed
	and made known to all employees.
	No actual problems have occurred.
	This one point was set as a continuation of conditions in order to establish a more reliable basis for the fact that the facility is "less likely to cause serious or irreversible damage to the ecosystem".
	To establish more robst evidence, the one condition was set a continue for clearlifying the facility is "highly unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function to the point where there would be serious or irreversible harm".
Include a statement confirming the status of	Euglena Co., Ltd. will continue to hold ASC-MSC seaweed (algae) certification for Euglena gracilis and Chlorella sorokiniana.
certification	



Annex 1: List of references

Annex 2: Assessment tree – Re-scoring evaluation tables (if necessary)

The final set of PIs to be included in the assessment tree shall be defined depending on the characteristics of the production unit in the UoA, as indicated in Table 3 of the Standard. Unless otherwise indicated, each PI shall be scored.

Principle	PI	Scoring Issue	Minimum	Met	Justification	Target	Met	Justification	References	Level	Condition (if relevant)
1 Stock Status		lirreversible impact	Ithat the wild stock is above the point where the harvesting	IN/A I	IOnly those that are cultured seedling in the facility are used. INot applicable as they do not use wild stock or wild seed. I	Iconsistent with MSY (or proxy)	IN/A I	IOnly those that are cultured seedling in the facility are used. INot applicable as they do not use wild stock or wild seed.		IN/A	1
	 	 	Impact is irreversible or very slowly reversible] [1 1	OR Available information indicates that harvesting	 			1	1 ! !
	 	 	 	I I	; ! !	impact causes insignificant change to the wild stock, which is unlikely to be detectable against natural lvariability for this population, or if detectable is minimal and has no impact on population dynamics.	I I	; ; ;			
	I	I	The harvest strategy is expected to achieve stock management objectives reflected in the stock status target (Pl 1.1), based on plausible argument.	l I	I Only those that are cultured seedling in the facility are used. INot applicable as they do not use wild stock or wild seed. I	The harvest strategy is responsive to the state of the state of the latock and the elements of the harvest strategy work together towards achieving stock management objectives reflected in the stock status target (PI 1.1).		I Only those that are cultured seedling in the facility are used. Not applicable as they do not use wild stock or wild seed. I I I		 IN/A	
		b. Harvest strategy levaluation	No minimum level. Go to target level	Y		The harvest strategy may not have been fully tested but evidence exists that it is achieving its objectives.		Only those that are cultured seedling in the facility are used. Not applicable as they do not use wild stock or wild seed.			
	1.3 Genetic impact on wild stock	a. Genetic	The harvesting or farming activity is unlikely to impact the genetic structure of wild populations.	N/A	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds.	The harvesting or farming activity is highly unlikely to impact the genetic structure of wild populations.	I — — — I ^{N/A} I I	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds, it is a land-based system (category C), and there is no contact with, extraction from, or impact on the marine environment which cannot be considered negligible.		N/A	:
		b. Genetic impact management	There are measures in place, which are expected to maintain the genetic structure of the wild population at levels compatible with the target Genetic outcome	I IN/A I I	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds.	There is a partial strategy in place, which is expected to maintain the genetic structure of the wild population at levels compatible with the target Genetic outcome level of performance.	I IN/A I I	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds, it is a land-based system (category C), and there is no contact with, extraction from, or impact on the marine environment which cannot be considered negli		- I	/ ! ! !
2. Environmental Impacts	2.1 Habitats I I I	la. Seaweed-habitat status I I I I I	The UOA is unlikely to reduce structure and function of the habitat created by the target seaweed to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.	I I I I I	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	The UoA is highly unlikely to reduce structure and function of the habitat created by the target seaweed to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.	Y 	The company only handles euglena and chlorella that are cultivated in their in land-based facilities. No wild stock is used. Hence there is no risk of any negative impact on wild stocks. Therefore, the UoA is highly unlikely to reduce structure and function of the habitat created by the target seaweed to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.		Target level I I I I	;
	 		The UoA is unlikely to reduce structure and function of other commonly encountered habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.	I Y	I See Justification of the Target level. 	The UoA is highly unlikely to reduce structure and function of other commonly encountered habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.		The farming facilities are entirely in land-based that are located in the area owned by the company. Hence there is no commonly encountered habitats. Inland systems have been clearly separated from adjacent ecosystems for over 40 years. Therefore, the UoA is highly unlikely to reduce structure and function of other commonly encountered habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.		I ITarget level I I I I	
			The UoA is unlikely to reduce structure and function of the VME habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm	L = - · IY I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	The UoA is highly unlikely to reduce structure and function of the VME habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.	 	VME possibly affected is the ecosystem of coral reefs in the sea area in front of the farm (50 m to 100 m away from the farm). This sea area is designated as ordinary area of Iriomote-Ishigaki National Park. Studies from Ministry of the Environment have confirmed no change on the population of corals in the past 30 years. In addition, the farm is entirely in land-based and there is no activity (e.g. direct contact, fishing, stepping, etc) to reduce structure and function of the VME habitats directly. Therefore it is considered highly-unlikely that the farm is causing any serious or irreversible harm to structure and function of VME habitats. It is unlikely to cause such harm in the future either. At present, no structural changes to the production method that would affect VME are planned. The company does not implement any activities (fishing, extraction, etc.) which are subjected to regulations of the National Park. Therefore, the UoA is highly unlikely (Highly unlikely =< 30th %ile) to reduce structure and function of the VME habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.	(area updated 15 Apr 2016, Ministry of the Environment)		
	 	! ! ! 	! ! !	 	! ! !	! ! 	: ! ! – – –	ı ı ı		 - 	! ! !

F2.2 Ecosystem structure land function	a. Ecosystem status I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	The UoA is unlikely to disrupt the TY lkey elements underlying lecosystem structure and function I to the point where there would be I serious or irreversible harm.	The ecosystem possibly affected is the coral reefs in the sea area in Ifront of the farm (50 m to 100 m away from the farm). In this sea larea, surveys are conducted by Ministry of the Environment (every Ifew years) and the prefecture (every year in important plots) so that data is available. The company started organizing regular meetings with Shiraho Cora Village. The first meeting was held on 19th Feb 2018. After dehydrating algae, Yaeyama Shokusan drain the water to the dug ponds to be stored and naturally drained through penetration to the ground. The distance between the ponds and coastline is about 100 m to 150 m. The drained water after cultivation of the algae only contains nutrients and organic substances and no chemical substance is included. It is highly likely that drained water penetrater in to the ground and finally reaches the surrounding sea area. Penetration pathway or how much nutrients reaches the sea are not known. The company started monitoring the quality of drained water I (immediately after drainage, in the storing ponds) since July 2017. There is no evidence that the farm is causing any serious or irreversible harm to the ecosystem. Therefore, the UoA is unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function to the point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.	lelements underlying ecosystem structure and ifunction to the point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.		There is no circumstantial evidence of serious or irreversible impacts on ecosystems. Although Farm has obtained data that can be considered regarding the existence and extent of the impact of twastewater on the surrounding ecosystem, it cannot be said to be sufficient as an evidence for determining the rextent of the potential impact. From 14ht September 2018 to 2nd March 2020, the Farm photographs the coastline of the front waters once every three months, and Visual monitoring continues for abnormalities such as; the leakage of drainage, foreign substances, mass death of living things, red tide occurrence, etc. Also, from around February 2020, Farm introduced a device that automatically records images and temperature data once per hour at fixed points on the coast in cooperation with other companies. According to the record, the red soil runoff due to heavy rain such as typhoons caused increase the turbidity of the coast of the entire lisland. Until now, no abnormalities originating from the production area have been observed. According to the action plan, the farm conducted sampling surveys of seawater at three sites along the neighboring coast during the production period (26th June, 2018) and the non-production period (4th November, 2018). The survey was outsourced to a third-party measurement agency that has obtained permission from Okinawa Prefecture to analyze COD, T-P, and T-N. The results were analyzed and submitted to this Surveillance Audit. From the analysis results, it was confirmed that there was no significant difference between the production period and the non-production period. However, there was no clear evidence of the impact of this water quality on the ecosystem. It was judged to be insufficient as a basis for the fact that the facility is "highly unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function to the point where there would be serious or irreversible harm". It was determined that euglena Co. Ltd. responded to the condition in the Initial Audit as pe	(area updated 15 Apr 2016, Ministry of the Environment) - Results of Natural Environmental Conservation Status Basic Survey of Ministry of the Environment (4th survey conducted between 1998 to 1993 and 5th conducted between 1993 to 1999) Drained water quality test results of Yaeyama Shokusan (Apr 2018 by Yaeyama Shokusan) - ASC certification action plan revised version (created by Euglena Co., 25th July, 2018) - Measurement certificate T-N, T-P, and COD (Okinawa Environmental Analysis Center, 26th June 2018, 4th November	 	The logical evidence may be Isubmitted to clearify that the facility is highly unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function Ito the point where there would be Iserious or irreversible harm.
L	a. Effects of the UoA on population/stocks within national or international limits, where applicable	Where national and/or international requirements set limits for impact on ETP species, the effects of the UoA on the population/stock are known and likely to be within these limits.	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Where national and/or international requirements set limits for impacts on ETP species, the combined effects of the UoAs and any other certified seaweed UoA on the population/stock are known and highly likely to be within these limits.		The ETP species assumed in the surrounding waters are corals, seaweeds, sea grasses, and sea turtles. Okinawa Prefecture Red Data Book, Marine Organism Red List by Ministry of the Environment, IUCN Red List, and other various survey results in the surrounding area were referenced. Species of seaweeds and sea grasses within the Ishigaki region are not considered ETP on the references mentioned before. There are 6 coral species which are listed as ETP species in Japan by Marine Organism Red List by Ministry of the IEnvironment, but those have not been found in the sea area in front of the farm. There are spawning sites of 3 rendangered marine turtle species identified by the government of Japan and IUCN around Ishigaki Islands. Spawning of turtles are reported in the surrounding area, although it is not frequent. Based on the above, three potential ETP species can be specified: hawksbill turtle (Eretmochelys imbricata), loggerhead turtle (Caretta caretta), and green turtle (Chelonia mydas). There is no other certified seaweed UoA in the region so there is no combined effects on ETP species.	- Okinawa Prefecture Red Data Book (Ver.3 for animals, Mar 2017) - Red List 2017 by Ministry of the Environment - Marine Organism Red List by Ministry of the Environment (Mar 2017) - IUCN Red List (hawksbill turtle: 2008, loggerhead turtle: 2017, green turtle: 2004) - Cabinet Office survey promoting forest making in beautiful sea in 2005 - Ministry of the Environment Monitoring Site 1000 (coral reef survey report from 2007 to 2017, sea turtle survey report 2015) - Saikai-ku Fisheries Research Institute: Spawning eggs of sea turtles in Yaeyama Islands and Ishigaki Island (1999) - WWF Japan: Ishigakijima - Shiraho coral reef survey summary and results summary (Mar 2012)	 	
						Regarding the regulations on ETP species, there are several legislations such as Act on Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, Protection and Control of Wild Birds and Mammals and Hunting Management Law, Nature Conservation Act, Natural Parks Act and Act on Protection of Cultural Properties. However, the company does not implement any activities that are subjected to regulation under these acts. Also, there is no limit for unintentional mortality of these turtle species by national laws or IUCN Red List. Therefore, this PI is not applicable.	Articles of following acts: - Act on Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (revised 2 Jun 2017) - Protection and Control of Wild Birds and Mammals and Hunting Management Law (revised 31 Mar 2015) - Nature Conservation Act (revised 13 Jun 2014) - Natural Parks Act (revised 13 Jun 2014) - Act on Protection of Cultural Properties (revised 13 June 2014)		
1 	b. Direct effects I I I	Known direct effects of the UoA IY are likely to not hinder recovery of ETP species.	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I	Direct effects of the UoA are highly likely to not hinder recovery of ETP species.	-	The farming facilities are entirely in land-based that are located in the area owned by the company and it is clearly separated from adjacent ecosystems for over 40 years. It has no direct impact on the ETP species as it has no direct impact on the marine or terrestrial natural environment. Therefore, it is quite likely that the direct impact of UoA will not prevent the recovery of ETP species.		Target level	

c. Indirect effects	Go to larget level		Indirect effects have been considered for the UoA and are thought to be highly likely to not create unacceptable impacts.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Only the possibility of negative impact of the company's activities to the endangered species is the drained lwater. The drained water after cultivation of the algae only contains nutrients and organic substances and no chemical substance is included. The water is drained to the dug ponds to be stored and naturally drained through penetration to the ground. There is no light or noises reaching the coast. Since sea turtles are distributed in the oceans all over the world, it is very difficult to know the population, but it is possible to estimate increase or decrease from the number of spawning. According to the Monitoring Site 1000 survey by the Ministry of the Environment from 2004, it was not observed that the number of spawning for the hawksbill turtle, loggerhead turtle, and green turtle had been consistently decreasing, although it is changing from year to year. IGiven the existing evidence, there seems no significant change in the population of turtles, and there is minimal possibility of negative impact of the farm, therefore, indirect effects have been considered for the UoA and are	loggerhead turtle: 2017, green turtle: 2004) - Cabinet Office survey promoting forest making in beautiful sea in 2005 - Ministry of the Environment Monitoring Site		
				1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ithought to be highly likely (Highly likely = > 80th %ile) to not create unacceptable impacts. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1000 (coral reef survey report from 2007 to 2017, sea turtle survey report 2015) . Saikai-ku Fisheries Research Institute: Spawning eggs of sea turtles in Yaeyama Islands and Ishigaki Island (1999) . WWF Japan: Ishigakijima . Shiraho coral reef survey summary and results summary (Mar 2012)		
Iplace [There are measures in place that IY minimise the UoA-related impact on ETP species, and it is expected to be highly likely to achieve national and international requirements for the lorotection of ETP species.	See Justification of the Target level.	There is a strategy in place for managing the UoA's impact on ETP species, including measures to minimise mortality, which is designed to be highly likely to achieve national and international requirements for the protection of ETP species.	 	Although it is highly unlikely that drained water is affecting ETP sea turtles, it is not directly drained, but it is temporarily stored in a pruned pond and discharged through natural infiltration. By this method, the possibility of influence on ETP species is minimized. In the protection method of the sea turtle, what is regarded as important and required is maintenance in the natural state of the coast. Since it is highly unlikely that drained water by infiltration will affect the coast, it also meets the protection requirements. Therefore, there is a strategy in place for managing the UoA's impact on ETP species, including measures to minimise mortality, which is designed to be highly likely to achieve national and international requirements for the protection of ETP species.	Ministry of the Environment: Sea Turtle Protection Handbook (revised Mar 2007)	_ _	
	Where there are no requirements N/A for protection and rebuilding provided through national ETP llegislation or international agreements, there are measures in place that are expected to ensure the UoA does not hinder	1	OR Where there are no requirements for protection and rebuilding provided through national ETP legislation for international agreements, there is a strategy in place that is expected to ensure the UoA does not hinder the recovery of ETP species.]]	See above.			
evaluation	The measures are considered Y likely to work, based on plausible argument	See Justification of the Target level.	There is an objective basis for confidence that the measures/strategy will work, based on information directly about the UoA and/or the species involved.		Since the death of the ETP species and the decline in the egg production rate derived from this facility have not been confirmed, the measures are effective. Therefore, there is an objective basis for confidence that the measures/strategy will work, based on information directly about the UoA and the species involved.		Target level	
f. Management strategy implementation	Go to target level		There is some evidence that the measures/strategy is being implemented successfully.	1	Measures mentioned above are taken.		Target level	· ·
measures to minimise mortality of ETP species	There is a review of the potential N/A effectiveness and practicality of lalternative measures to minimise IUOA-related mortality of ETP species.	Not applicable. Measures are not needed because there is no identified influence on ETP species.	There is a regular review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of ETP Ispecies and they are implemented as appropriate.]]	Not applicable because there is no death of ETP species caused by the UoA.		N/A	-
· <u>·</u> '	· !!	: !	· !	!	! 	<u> </u>		

2.4 Other species	a. Main species stock status	Main species are likely to be TY labove biologically based limits	There is no influence on main species because it is complete laquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	Main species are highly likely to be above biologically based limits	I y 	There is no influence on main species because these are inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	Target level	
1 1 1 1 1	! ! ! !	OR If the main species are below If	There is no influence on main species because it is complete laquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	If the main species are below biologically based limits there is either evidence of recovery or a Idemonstrably effective strategy in place between the UoA and any other certified seaweed UoAs which categorise these species as main, to ensure that they collectively do not hinder recovery and rebuilding.		There is no influence on main species because it is complete aquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no lbycatch occur.		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	place	There are measures in place, if Y necessary, which are expected to maintain or not hinder rebuilding of main species at/to levels which are highly likely to be above biologically based limits or to ensure that the UoA does	There is no influence on main species because it is complete aquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	There is a partial strategy in place for the UoA, if necessary, that is expected to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of the main species at/to levels lwhich are highly likely to be above the biologically lbased limits or to ensure that the UoA does not hinder their recovery.	I — — — I I I I I I	There is no influence on main species because it is complete aquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	Target level	:
	c. Management strategy levaluation	The measures are considered TY likely to work, based on plausible largument (e.g. general experience, theory or comparison with similar UoAs/species).	There is no influence on main species because it is complete laquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	There is some objective basis for confidence that the measures/partial strategy will work, based on Isome information directly about the UoA and/or species involved.	I 	There is no influence on main species because it is complete aquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	Target level	
 	d. Management strategy implementation	Go to target level		There is some evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully.	₇	There is no influence on main species because it is complete aquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	Target level	; ;
 	e. Review of alternative measures	There is a review of the potential Y effectiveness and practicality of alternative measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of lunwanted catch of main species. I	There is no influence on main species because it is complete aquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	There is a regular review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of unwanted catch of main species and they are implemented as appropriate.	I I I I I	There is no influence on main species because it is complete aquaculture at inland cultivating facilities and no bycatch occur.	Target level	!
2.5 Waste management and pollution control	a. Waste reduction	There are some measures in IY place that can help to reduce waste produced by the UoA.	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	There is a strategy in place, which is expected to reduce waste produced by the UoA.	1 1 1 1 1 1	The company has developed "A policy on waste treatment". In the "Yaeyama Shokusan 10th Environmental Plan" specifies a process to reduce waste for this fiscal year. Wastes generated from their facilities include below-the-standard products, harvested water, waste liquid from failed culture, waste equipment and waste chemicals. For each waste, an annual plan for reduction activities are specified. The progress is recorded in the relevant meeting minutes. Waste volume is recorded. It is a mechanism that examines and executes each lwaste reduction method, verifies the effect, and if necessary improves the method. It is a strategy that can expect waste reduction. The type and amount of industrial waste is recorded and monitored by the manifest. Auditor confirmed the manifest sample. Other wastes are monitored as planned. For example, the wastewater pollution load per production unit has been reduced by efforts to prevent pollution and reduce the culture waste liquid, and by efforts to increase the collection efficiency with introducing a new separator. For goals those have already achieved ahead of schedule, new long-term goals and action plans are expected to be reset with the president approval. Is a noted above, these procedures are designed to reduce all waste generated from the facility, are evaluated annually and reviewed as necessary. These procedures are considered as measures that can be expected to reduce the waste generated from UoA.	- A policy on waste treatment - Yaeyama Shokusan 10th Environmental Plan - List of electronic manifest delivering	
	b. Chemicals and hydrocarbon wastes	There are some measures in Y place that can help to reduce chemical and hydrocarbon wastes produced by the UoA.	I See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	There is a strategy in place, which is expected to reduce chemical and hydrocarbon waste produced by the UoA.	1 1 1 1 1	Most of the hydrocarbon waste originate from compressor oil. The company continues to extend the use-by-date by reducing the operating time of the compressor. For example, if the annual operating time of the compressor can be reduced by 5%, the usable time limit of oil can be extended by 5%, resulting in a 5% reduction in the amount of oil discarded. Until now, they have improved the facility since 2011 and have reduced the amount of oil waste by about 24 liters per year. Chemical substance is only used for quality inspection. Chemical is not used in production processes at all. Targets for reducing reagent usage were set, measurement methods were improved, and waste liquid was significantly reduced. In addition, the arsenic and phenol waste liquids that were previously used in quality inspections have become zero. Audit team confirmed the activities via minutes of relevant meetings. Therefore, audit team concluded that there is a strategy in place, which is expected to reduce chemical and hydrocarbon waste produced by the UoA.	- A policy on waste treatment A manual on machine oil treatment A manual on treating waste liquid from experimental laboratory Minutes of cross section meetings.	
 	Ic. Chemicals and Ic. Chemicals and Ic. Chemicals and Ic.	There are some measures in IY place that can help to prevent spills of chemicals and hydrocarbons originating from the UoA.	I See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	There is a spill prevention and response plan in place for chemicals and hydrocarbons originating from the UoA.	1 1 1 1 1	conditions. Chemical substance is only used for quality inspection. Chemical is not used in production processes at all. Amount of chemical used is recorded every time chemicals are used. The remaining amount and records are cross checked every month. Waste liquids including the secondary cleaning solution are stored in a special	- A manual on machine oil treatment. Target level - A manual on emergency measures in case of heavy oil spillage. - A manual on treating waste liquid from experimental laboratory A manual on emergency measures in case of spillage of waste liquid from experimental laboratory and in case of fire. - Training records (April 16, 2018).	

2.6 Pest(s) and disease(s)	a. Spread of pest(s) and	There is a partial strategy that is	T _Y = =	Although there is a possibility of fungus and other microorganism	There is a strategy that is expected to prevent the	η -	A "Procedure for Infectious Diseases" (15th November, 2018) was created and this document was confirmed by	,,	Target level	
	disease(s)	expected to prevent the spread	I	entering into cultivation pools, there is no recognized bacteria or	Ispread of pest(s) and disease(s).	1	Ithis Surveillance Audit. The treatment procedure described the disease infection of algae and the operation flow	,	1 1	
1	I	of pest(s) and disease(s).	I	Iviruses that infect euglena or chlorella. No external report on such	I	ı	lat the time of disease infection.		1 1	
I	I	I	1	Ibacteria or viruses either.	I	I	The operation flow described the command and command system from the time of the abnormality detection, and the operation method at the site. It was judged that this procedure manual took measures that could be		1 1	
I	ı	I	I	Cultivation solution is checked every day with microscope so that any abnormalities can be detected. There is an inspection manual in	I	I	expected to prevent the spread of pests and diseases.		1 1	
1	I	I	I	place. In case of detection of any infection or diseases, heat-	I	ı	proposed to proton the option of poole and discusses.		1 1	
I	ı	I	I	sterilization can be applied followed by waste treatment. In order to	I	ı	I		1 1	
ļ	I	I	I	avoid risk of infection, each production batch is started with new	I	I	I		1 1	
1	I	I	I	Iseeds.	I	ı	I		1 1	
I	ı	I	I	Therefore there is a partial strategy that is expected to prevent the	I	ı	I		1 1	
	1	1	1	spread of pest(s) and disease(s).		i .			1 1	
	! ,-,		1 75. – –		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_,	. 6		. - =	
2.7 Energy efficiency		There is some information about	IΥ	See Justification of the Target level.	There is evidence of energy use monitoring relative	ΙΥ	I*11th Monthly inspection record" includes records of energy consumption, amount of LPG and heavy oil used	- 11th Monthly inspection record	Target level	
!		Ienergy use of the production runit.	1	1	Ito production and ongoing effort to improve refficiency.		Iper production unit and cross-check with the calculated estimates so that any differences can be analysed. IThe biggest waste of energy will happen when cultivation solution is contaminated/infected and had to be	- Minutes of cross section meetings	1 1	
j j		I .	1		I.		discarded (all the energy put is so far will be lost). So the company is making effort to avoid such		!!!	
ļ		1	1	1	1		contamination/infection. Specific plans for saving energy is discussed and decided during cross section		!!!	
1	i i	1	1	1	1		meetings. Audit team confirmed plans via meeting minutes. There is a system in place to alert section		1 1	
1	i i	1	1	1	1		managers by e-mail when any abnormal figures are detected in terms of energy consumption.		1 1	
· ·	i i	1		· •	1		In terms of energy efficiency, a long-term reduction target of 3% or more was set in FY2022 compared to the			
i i	I		i	:	1		lend of 2017, efforts were made in line with the short-term target, and numerical results are also recorded. ISpecifically, the amount of energy used can be reduced by devising the operating method of the machine in the			
i i	I		i	:	1		powdering and drying process. In addition, the power peak is managed at a value lower than the target value,			
1	•		1	:	•		and measures are taken to avoid the simultaneous use of power.			
Į.		1	1		1		Therefore, there is evidence of energy use monitoring relative to production and ongoing effort to improve		!!!	
i i	I		i	:	1		efficiency.			
	I	i	1	i	i		1		· ·	
i I	h Maintananaa raaarda af	There are maintanance records	-	Poor Justification of the Toront Jovel	Maintananae records for aguisment are un te data	-i,	There are imposition records for hellows payou do are LDC taples heavy all taples at a Daily impositions	Doily increasing records	Towart lovel	
I	equipment	There are maintenance records for equipment.	I	See Justification of the Target level.	Maintenance records for equipment are up to date and available.	ľ	*There are inspection records for boilers, spray dryers, LPG tanks, heavy oil tanks, etc. Daily inspections, monthly inspections, etc. are defined and implemented by the equipment. Auditor confirmed the inspection	Daily inspection records. Monthly inspection records.	Target level	
İ	I	I	1	1	I	ı	records so far.	- Monthly inspection records.	1 1	
Ì	I	I	1	1	I	ı	ICompressors are inspected weekly, monthly and yearly, and blowers are inspected once a month by the		1 1	
1	I	I	I	1	I	ı	lengineering department. The generator used at the time of power failure is outsourced to the Okinawa Electric		1 1	
Į	I	1	1	I .	1	ı	Safety Association, and there is a report. Farm is able to submit the latest maintenance / inspection records		1 1	
1	Ī	I	I	1	I	ı	regarding equipment such as ships and engines.		1 1	
1		! '	1 5.7 –	 		_ <u>'.</u>	. 5. = 5	.	· 	
		IThe translocation activity is junlikely to introduce diseases,	IN/A	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds.	The translocation activity is highly unlikely to	IN/A	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds.		IN/A I	
	• •	pests, pathogens, or non-native	1	<u>.</u>	Introduce diseases, pests, pathogens, or non-native species into the surrounding ecosystem.	' I	1		! !	
		species into the surrounding	1	1	species into the surrounding ecosystem.	1	1		1 1	
		ecosystem.	1	<u>.</u>		!	1		! !	
1	L = = = = = = = =	, ,	· 		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	' -1		. 	'	
	b. Translocation	There is a partial strategy in	N/A	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds.	There is a strategy in place that is expected to	N/A	Not applicable as there is no translocation of cultivated seeds.		N/A	 _
i I	management strategy	place that is expected to protect		: 	protect the surrounding ecosystem from the		·			
I		the surrounding ecosystem from the translocation activity at levels		· 1	Itranslocation activity at levels compatible with the Itranslocation outcome target level of performance	i	· 			
1		compatible with the translocation		· 1	Idefined in Sla (target level).	·				
i İ		joutcome target level of		;	I		·			
		performance defined in Sla		<u>:</u>		i	· !			
1		(target level).		· 1	•	·				
2.9 Introduction of alien	a. Management of alien	There is a partial strategy in	N/A	Not applicable as the target species are not alien species.	There is a strategy in place to prevent progression	N/A	Not applicable as the target species are not alien species.	· Freshwater microbial pictorial book (Yuji	N/A	
species	species	place to prevent progression of		1	of ecosystem impacts from occurring due to the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Tsukii, March 2010)		
1		ecosystem impacts from		;	presence of the alien species.		·	· Photosynthesis dictionary (The Japan		
1		occurring due to the presence of		<u>:</u>		i	· !	Society of Photosynthesis, April 2015)		
·	I	the alien species.		· 1	· r	i	I			
•	•	•		•	•		•		_ :	

Principle 3:	3.1 Legal and/or customary	a Compatibility of laws or	There is an effective national	γ Ι	See Justification of the Target level.	There is an effective national legal system and	Ιγ	Euglena and Chlorella are not subjected to resource management under any international cooperation	Articles of following acts:	Target level
			llegal system and a framework for	' i	Dee Justilication of the Target level.	lorganized and effective cooperation with other	ı'	Ischemes. In Japan there is no specific law regarding in land-based seaweed aquaculture facilities. The same		I I
management			Icooperation with other parties,	i		Iparties, where necessary, to deliver management	i		I- Air Pollution Control Act (revised 2 Jun	i i
managomoni	, 1		where necessary, to deliver			joutcomes consistent with the Principles of this		Prevention Act is exempted for in land-based facilities. Since the algae farm is closed within the facilities owned		: :
			management outcomes			standard.		by themselves, the legislation is the same as normal food production facilities and it is not considered necessary).
	!	1	consistent with the Principles of				ı	for the company to work together with other parties. Management decision is made within the company.	- Vibration Regulation Act (revised 18 Jun	'I I
	I	ı	this standard.	I		ı	ı	is the company to now together than one particle management decides to made mann the company.	2014)014)	I I
	I	1	1	1		į.	I	I	- Waste Management and Public Cleansing	1
	I	I	I I	1		l .	1		Act (revised 16 Jun 2017)	1
	I	ı	1	i		1	1		I- Fire Service Act (revised 27 June 2018)	1 1
	ı	•	1	1		i	1		I- Industrial Safety and Health Act (revised 25	51 1
	, ,	-				· ·			July 2018)	', '
	!		1			<u>.</u>			- Food Sanitation Act (revised 15 June 2018)). !
	ļ	1	1	1		Į.	ı		- Water Pollution Prevention Act (revised 16	
	l	ſ	1 1	I		ļ	ı	I e	May) (not applicable)	1
	İ	Ī	I I	1		Í	1	I	I	1
	I	I	1	1		I	ı	T.	I	1
	ı	ı	i i	i		1	i		1	· 1
		-		:		•			· 1	
	!		1			<u>.</u>				! !
	ļ	t	:+	+		+	-:	As the legal system concerning social responsibility in principle 4, the constitution (4.3 Discrimination, 4.6	- the Constitution (announced on 3 Nov	::
	I	ı	1 1	I		l	I		1946).	1
	İ	I	1	1		1	ı		Labor Standards Act (revised 8 Jun 2018)	1
	ſ	ī	1 1	Ī		Í	1		I- Act on Securing, Etc. of Equal Opportunity	
	ı	•	1	1		i	1		land Treatment between Men and Women in	
		- -				' '			Employment (revised 2 Jun 2017)	•
	!		1			<u>.</u>		Fair and decent wages), Labor Union Act (4.6 Freedom of association and collective bargaining), Labor	- Act on Employment Promotion etc. of	! !
	ļ	1	1	1		Į.	ı	Contracts Act (4.7 Disciplinary practices) etc., and they are effective.	Persons with Disabilities (revised 14 Jun	1
	I	ı	1 1	I		l	I	Contracts Act (4.7 Disciplinary practices) etc., and they are effective.	2019)	1
	İ	Ī	I I	I		İ	ı	I and the second	I - Industrial Safety and Health Act (revised 25	;I I
	ſ	ī	1 1	Ī		Í	1		July 2018)	Î I
	i	1	1	1		İ	1		I- Industrial Accident Compensation	1 1
	1	- -				1			Insurance Act (revised 25 May 2018)	i i
			:			<u>.</u>			I- Minimum Wage Act (revised 6 Apr 2012)	:
	!	1	1			ļ	ı		- Labor Union Act (revised 8 Jun 2018)	I I
	I	ı	1 1	I		ı	ı	1	- Labor Contracts Act (revised 6 July 2018)	I I
	I	I	1	I		l .	I	I	Labor Contracts Act (revised 6 3dly 2016)	1
	l	L	J			L			'	
	İ	I	1	1		1	ı	Regarding the legal system concerning the relationship with the community in principle 5, the matters	- Fishery Act (revised 15 May 2020)	1
	i	Ī	1	i		i	i		- Code of Civil Procedure (revised 8 Jun	1 1
	I	1	i i	i		I	i	cooperative based thereon (5.1 Community impacts, 5.4 Visibility, positioning and orientation of production units		i i
	! :	5 -				' '		or water-based structures, 5.5 Identification and recovery of substantial gear, 5.7 Decommissioning of	- Act on the Promotion of Ainu Culture, and	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
	1	1	1 1			į.	ı	abandoned production units or water-based structures). Regarding the proceedings of the civil procedure, Code		ı
	ı	ı	1 1	I		ı	ı		Knowledge about Ainu Tradition, etc.	I I
	I	I	1	I		1	I		I(revised 24 Jun 2011)	1
	İ	I	I I	I		i i	ı		I- Noise Control Act (revised 18 Jun 2014)	1
	I	ı	1			İ	1	Act and the Odour Control Act (5.6 Noise, Odour). There are no national laws regulating light pollution, but each	- Odour Control Act (revised 30 Aug 2011)	1
	I	- I		·		· 1		municipality establishes light pollution prevention ordinance. But it is not in Ishigaki city.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			. !			•		Because Yaeyama Shokusan is doing business within the premises on land, there is no item requiring	! !	<u> </u>
	1	1	1			ı	•	cooperation with others, but as mentioned above, there is an effective national legal system to deliver	I	ı I
	I	ı	1 1	I		l	ı	Imanagement outcomes consistent with the Principles of this standard.	I	I I
	İ	İ	1	1		I	I	I and the second	1	1
	I	I	1	1		l .	1	i e	I	1
			. – – – – – – – – –							

	The management system has a TY mechanism to generally respect the legal rights created explicitly or established by custom of people dependent on harvesting or farming for food or livelihood in a manner consistent with the objectives of Principles of this standard.	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	The management system has a mechanism to observe the legal rights created explicitly or lestablished by custom of people dependent on pharvesting or farming for food or livelihood in a manner consistent with the objectives of Principles of this standard.	In general, the legal rights of people dependent on harvesting or farming for livelihood in the sea and the inner lwater are protected as fishery rights by the fisheries law in Japan, and it is established as a management system. Therefore, the management system has a mechanism to observe the legal rights created explicitly or established by custom of people dependent on harvesting or farming for food or livelihood in a manner consistent with the objectives of Principles of this standard. For this case, the algae farm is closed and within the on-land cultivation facilities owned by themselves. Hence there is no impact on rights of local community to harvest or farm. This indicator is therefore not applicable.		Target level 	1
I3.2 Decision-making I processes I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	IObjectives to guide decision- IY making, which are consistent with achieving the outcomes expressed in the Principles of this standard, are implicit within the production unit specific management system.	ISee Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	IShort and long-term objectives, which are consistent IY with achieving the outcomes expressed in the Principles of this standard, are explicit within the production unit specific management system	Corporate philosophy of Yaeyama Shokusan are "to be a company that contribute to every one's health globally" and "to be a company that is in harmony with the beautiful nature of Okinawa". Following specific plans have been developed accordingly: - Target and activities plan for rare species (biodiversity) care, reducing waste and reducing energy consumption, consistent with Principle 2 requirements; - Target and activities plan for corporate social responsibility and community relations, consistent with Principle 4 and 5 of this standard. The company has specified long term targets (until 2022) and short term targets (until 2019). IWaste was limited to the disposal of chemical substances that can be specifically considered at present. Farm keeps on reviewing the plan every year. New targets have been set for those that have already been achieved, and those that have not been achieved continue to be addressed.	Corporate philosophy of Yaeyama Shokusan Corporate vision of Yaeyama Shokusan Target and activities plan for rare species (biodiversity) care, reducing waste and reducing energy consumption; Target and activities plan for corporate Isocial responsibility and community relations	Target level	
				Related to Principle 2: Achieving the outcomes: Do not affect the important elements that form the basis of the structure or function of Ithe ecosystem until it causes serious or irreversible damage. Long-term objective: Reduce wastewater pollution load per product compared to 2019 by April 2022. Short-term objective: Understand the current situation by conducting periodic monitoring by April 2019. Achieving the outcomes: Reduction of waste Long-term objective: Reduce the use of one or more chemical substances compared to 2018 by April 2022. Short-term objective: Understand usage of chemical substances used for analysis etc. until April 2019. IAchieving the outcomes: Enhancement of energy efficiency Long-term objective: In the fiscal year ending September 2022, the amount used per production volume will be reduced by more than 3% on average in the usage of electricity, water, gas and heavy oil in the period of September 2017. Short-term objective: In the fiscal year ending September 2019, achieve reduction of 1% or more on average per production volume in any of electricity, water, gas, heavy oil consumption in the period of September 2017.	 		
		I		Related to Principle 4: Achieving the outcomes: Compliance with all items related to principle 4 Long-term objective / Short-term objective: Provide a workplace environment that takes safety and health into consideration, continue to update zero accidents record, go to work with a smile and go home cheerfully. Related to Principle 5: Achieving the outcomes: bring social benefits to the community Long-term objective / Short-term objective: Develop relationship by continuing regular communication while maintaining a relationship of trust with Shiraho community that have been built since founding. From the above, Short and long-term objectives, which are consistent with achieving the outcomes expressed in the Principles of this standard, are explicit within the production unit specific management system.		I	

	b. Decision-making Iprocess I I	There are some decision-making TY Iprocesses in place that result in Imeasures and strategies to I achieve the production unit I specific objectives.	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I	There are established decision-making processes TY Ithat result in measures and strategies to achieve the I Iproduction unit specific objectives. I I I I I	Authority regulation specifies authorities of each position. Decision making processes are clear. Interview to I - Authority regulation I Target level I managers and employees demonstrated that these processes are duly followed. Interefore, there are established decision-making processes that result in measures and strategies to achieve I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	c. Responsiveness of decision-making processe	Decision-making processes Y s respond to serious issues identified in relevant research, I monitoring, evaluation and I consultation, in a transparent, I timely and adaptive manner and I take some account of the wider implications.	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Decision-making processes respond to all issues identified in relevant research, monitoring, evaluation and consultation, in a transparent, timely and adaptive manner and take account of the wider timplications of decisions.	Farm holds a weekly meeting across departments called the "Food Safety Committee". If there is a problem, the committee will immediately discuss it, record it in the "Food Safety Change Information" and take preventive measures. What can be resolved by foremen will be resolved. Information on food safety and health is also regularly shared to the company. I Issues that needs large budget and longer time to solve are brought to monthly directors' meeting. Corporate auditor also participate in the director's meeting. Minutes of these meetings were available. Audit team monitoring that transparent and timely measures have been taken to various levels of issues through the minutes of meetings and interview to managers and employees. Therefore, decision-making processes respond to all issues identified in relevant research, monitoring, evaluation and consultation, in a transparent, timely and adaptive manner and take account of the wider implications of decisions.	
	Id. Use of precautionary approach			Decision-making processes use the precautionary approach and are based on best available information.	At the pollution control meeting, preventive measures to prevent pollution are listed. Due to the reduction of food hygiene pollution, the minutes are now abandoned because the training is foreseeing where the images are likely to cause contamination. Accidents have been prevented by conducting near-miss reports and risk prediction training activities. All findings are summarized in the unsafe location management list. The Audit team confirmed the findings of the findings, risk assessment, response measures, response deadline, response confirmation, and implementation records up to re-risk assessment. In addition, risk prediction training licommonly known as KYT) is also conducted, and a task quiz is given once a week, and feedback from the staff lis collected. The 11th term KYT activity record was confirmed. The 12th term is the period concentrated on risk passessment. Audit team confirmed that the company is proactively considering and implementing precautionary approach to avoid issues happening through the minutes of meeting and daily activity records. Therefore, decision-making processes use the precautionary approach and are based on best available information.	
	le. Accountability and Itransparency of Imanagement system and decision-making process I	ISome information on the IY production unit's performance pand management action is generally available on request to stakeholders.	I ISee Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Information on the production unit's performance and management action is available on request, and explanations are provided for any actions or lack of action associated with findings and relevant recommendations emerging from research, monitoring evaluation and review activity.	IFrom the last Audit to the present, there have been no cases of information requests from stakeholders such as I-website of Euglena Co., Ltd. Ineighboring residents and customers. Interview as a request for a copy of the FSSC22000 certification registration certificate, and it was answered. Website of Euglena Co., Ltd is used to communicate news release. The website is also used to communicate research reports about euglena. If requested by stakeholders, information can be available excepting confidential information of business. Information on the production unit's performance and management action is available on request, and lexplanations are provided for any actions or lack of action associated with findings and relevant Irecommendations emerging from research, monitoring evaluation and review activity.	
	If. Approach to disputes I I I	Although the management Y authority or production unit may be subject to continuing court challenges, it is not indicating a disrespect or defiance of the law by repeatedly violating the same law or regulation necessary for Ithe sustainability of the	I See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	The management system or production unit is attempting to comply in a timely fashion with judicial or administrative tribunal decisions arising from any legal challenges.	This is scored as Target level according to the requirement as the company has not received any legal phallenges. All contacted cases are handled appropriately and recorded. Several complaints about product quality have occurred. These records are monitored and the cause is investigated and preventive measures are taken. (Product complaint processing form)	
3.3 Compliance and enforcement	Ia. MCS implementation II II II II II II II II II II II II II	Monitoring, control and IY Monitoring, control and Isurveillance (MCS) mechanisms I exist, and are implemented in the I production unit and there is a reasonable expectation that they are effective.	See Justification of the Target level. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	TA monitoring, control and surveillance system (MCS) IY thas been implemented in the production unit and thas demonstrated an ability to enforce relevant management measures, strategies and/or rules.	There are self-inspection sheet and internal audit records of Euglena Group to check compliance with lapplicable laws. IThere is an "In-house inspection point / improvement report" in which the plant manager patrols and points out the premises, and monitors and records whether the topics pointed out are improved by the next inspection. The Audit team confirmed this report. The Audit team also confirmed the internal audit report (18th October to 30th November, 2019) in the Internal Audit Office within the euglena group. The status of non-conformity is evaluated in four stages, and improvement activities are being continued. IRegulatory compliance is always confirmed by regulatory authorities such as the country, Okinawa prefecture, Ilshigaki city etc. Therefore, a monitoring, control and surveillance system (MCS) has been implemented in the production unit and has demonstrated an ability to enforce relevant management measures, strategies and/or rules.	
	b. Sanctions	Sanctions to deal with non- compliance exist and there is some evidence that they are lapplied.	See Justification of the Target level.	Sanctions to deal with non-compliance exist, are consistently applied and thought to provide effective deterrence.	Self-inspection sheet and internal audit records of Euglena Group and ISO 22000 audit reports were checked and non-conformities identified were confirmed. These non-conformities were addressed appropriately and reported. Confirmed with internal report and ISO audit report. Penalties are stipulated in the various laws described in 3.1. For example, according to the Waste Management land Public Cleansing Act, in the case of a violation, up to five years imprisonment or a fine of ten million yen or less may be imposed. In the case of a violation of the Labor Standards Act, imprisonment for not less than 1 year and not more than 10 years, or a fine of not less than 200,000 yen and not more than 3 million yen. Although there have been no cases in which the Company violated laws and regulations and penalties have been imposed, as mentioned above, Sanctions to deal with non-compliance exist, are consistently applied and thought to provide effective deterrence.	
	Ic. Compliance	Production units comply with the TY Imanagement system under I lassessment, including, when I required, providing information I necessary for effective Imanagement.	See Justification of the Target level.	Some evidence exists to demonstrate production units comply with the management system under lassessment, including, when required, providing information of importance to the effective management of the production unit.	Fine Audit team confirmed that the internal management system was properly working with the meeting minutes and daily check sheets. Since this is an internal business activity and not the management of natural resources are under examination, and Farm can provide important data for effective management of the production area (producer) when necessary. I Cross section meeting minutes are cross section and cross section are cross section and cross section are cross section meeti	
	d. Systematic non- compliance		- 	There is no evidence of systematic non-compliance. Y	No evidence of planned non-compliance was found as a result of document checks and interviews with Imanagers.	

Principle 4:	4.1 Child labour	a. Child labour	No incidences of child labour or Y	a. A list of employees which include birth dates as well as copies of		Υ	a, b. "Approach to employment and work" (established on March 2, 2018) states that the company does not	- A list of employees	Target level	
Social responsibility			lyoung worker abuse are found to lineare occurred.	residence certificates were checked. No worker was under 18 years old. Company only employs workers above 19 who has graduated	young worker abuse has been minimised.		employ workers under 18 years old and no child labour is used. Public document is required to prove the age when employing. So there is no possibility of employing workers under 18 years old in the future either.	- copies of residence certificates - Approach to employment and work		
responsibility			l de desamed.	high school.			Therefore there is evidence that the risk of child labour and young worker abuse has been minimised.	I Approach to employment and work		
				b, c. Labour Standard Act of Japan states "Employers shall not						
	•		, ,	employ children until the end of the first 31st of March that occurs on or after the day when they reach the age of 15 years". During site	ı		•	•		
				audit, no worker under 18 was observed.	<u> </u>	•				
				Therefore no incidences of child labour or young worker abuse are found to have occurred.						
	4.2 Forced, bonded or	a Incidences and risk of	No incidences of forced, bonded Y	a. A list of employees which include birth dates as well as copies of	There is evidence that the risk of forced, bonded or	Y -	a. "Approach to employment and work" states that the company does not get involved in forced, bonded or	- Employment Contract	Minimum level	
			Ior compulsory labour are found I	Iresidence certificates were checked. No worker was under 18 years		ı	Icompulsory labour.	I- Employment regulation	 	
	1	compulsory labour	to have occurred.	lold. Company only employs workers above 19 who has graduated	I			- Approach to employment and work	ļ į	
	!		! !	high school. b, c. Labour Standard Act of Japan states "Employers shall not	!	! -	Surveillance Audit. According to the records, Mr. Ryohei Nakano (representative director) of Yaeyama Shokusan Co., Ltd.	I- Interview to employees I- ASC certification action plan revised		
			! !	employ children until the end of the first 31st of March that occurs on	! !	! !	conducted the education and training on 17th November, 2018 and 20th November, 2018, and the attendance	version (created by Euglena Co., 25th July,		
	į i		I I	or after the day when they reach the age of 15 years". During site audit, no worker under 18 was observed.	I	I	record was stored with the names of the participants. The concept regarding employment / working" clearly states that forced, bonded or compulsory labour is not	'2018) I	· 	
	ļ ,		I I	Therefore no incidences of child labour or young worker abuse are	I .		lallowed, and a consultation desk was also set up.	I	į į	
)		1	Ifound to have occurred.	I		The policy is also stored in the company's shared folder and can be viewed by employees at any time.	l	1	
	!		<u> </u>	<u>I</u>	<u> </u> -		Counseling counters are distributed to employees using the "Euglena Group Hotline" card, and are also posted at noticeable places such as station-rooms and toilets.	<u>l</u>		
				l :	l i	! :	Based on the above, it was determined that the risk of forced, bonded or compulsory labour was minimized.	Ī		
			' ' I '	· 1	I	I	<u>.</u> I	, 		
	<u> </u>			1		'	<u> </u>			
			INo incidences of discrimination IY	Employment Contract has been signed and employees understand the contents.					Minimum level	
		discrimination	are found to have occurred.	its contents. b. Interview to employees demonstrated that employer does not pay	covering all aspects of potential discrimination has been minimised.			I- Employment contract I- CVs		
			; ;	fees for the employees when employing.	! !	I	summarizes procedures to address complaints.	- copies of residence certificates		
	į į		I I	 c. Interview to employees demonstrated that employer does not keep the original ID of workers. 	I	- I	b. The Audit team visually confirmed that information on the consultation / reporting office was posted on the bulletin board and that a guideline box for writing opinions from workers was installed in the facility from April	'- Wage list I- Training plan	I	
	ļ .		l I	d. Employment regulation section 17 specifies that employees are	l	ı		I- Approach to employment and work	ļ į	
	۱ ۱		I I	Ifree to leave work at their own will. It was also confirmed by interview	I			I- complaint resolution procedure	<u>į</u>	
	! !		!	Ito employees. e. Interview to employees demonstrated that employer does not	!			I- a box to collect opinions of workers I- Interview to employees		
			! !	withhold any part of employee salary, property, or benefits upon	! !			- ASC certification action plan revised		
	i i		I I	termination of employment.	I	- I	record was stored with the names of the participants.	version (created by Euglena Co., 25th July, 2018)	· I	
)		I I	f. Interview to employees demonstrated that they are free to leave workplace and do what ever they want to do during off-duty hours.	I	ı	The concept regarding employment / working" clearly states that employer and employee do not discriminate based on country of origin, religion, disability, gender, sexual orientation, union membership, political party, age,		İ İ	
	۱ ۱		1	Therefore No incidences of forced, bonded or compulsory labour are	I	ı	etc. nor do not order descrimination. A consultation desk for descrimination was also set up.	I	į į	
	! !		<u> </u>	Ifound to have occurred.	<u>!</u>		IThe policy is also stored in the company's shared folder and can be viewed by employees at any time. ¡Counseling counters are distributed to employees using the "Euglena Group Hotline" card, and are also posted	<u> </u> -	<u> </u>	
			! !	1	! !		at noticeable places such as station-rooms and toilets. Euglena Headquarters personnel reported that there	! !		
	į i		I I	i	I	- !	have never been complaints of discrimination.	I		
			I I	I	I	ı	Based on the above, it was determined that the risk of discrimination was minimized.	I	l I	
	! :		I I	1 1] 	!] ;] 	l	
	4.4 Health, safety and	a. Safe and healthy	The employer provides a safe Y	a. "Safe and healthy work specification" has been developed	No target specified for this PI. If minimum in met the			- Safe and healthy work specification	Target level	
	insurance	working and living environment for workers	and healthy working and living environment (where	including working procedures, tasks, critical aspects and risks related to each section of seed cultivation, outdoor culture, harvesting and	target should be considered met.			- Safety meeting held on July 5, 2017: report, materials used, photos.		
	1		accommodation is provided) for	drying and quality control. It also includes possible accidents and		•		- A manual on emergency measures in case		
	1		workers.	incidents, as well as necessary PPE. The specification is kept in the office of each section so that any one can see it.				of heavy oil spillage.		
				A manual on emergency measures in case of heavy oil spillage and a				A manual on treating waste liquid from experimental laboratory.		
				manual on emergency measures in case of spillage of waste liquid				- A manual on emergency measures in case		
				from experimental laboratory and in case of fire are also in place. b. No accommodation facility. Each section has rest stations where				of spillage of waste liquid from experimental laboratory and in case of fire.		
				workers can have meetings, carry out admin works, take a rest and				- Emergency exit route map		
				take meals. The rooms were kept clean and safe.				- Interview to workers.		
				c. During the site audit, no critical danger was identified throughout the whole production processes. Emergency exit routes map is in						
				place and workers are instructed to follow the route in case of						
				evacuation. d. Rest stations of each section has a water server where workers						
				can get clean and safe drinking water. There is a refrigerator where						
				workers can store foods/drinks of their own.						
				 e. Appropriate PPE is provided by the company to workers in each section. Confirmed with interview to workers and through observation 						
				during site visit.						
				Therefore the employer provides a safe and healthy working						
				environment for workers. Accommodation is not provided.						

	Health and safety ecords and corrective action	There is evidence that health and Y safety related accidents and violations are recorded and corrective action is taken when necessary. No immediate and serious dangers to personnel health or safety were identified.	- Audit team confirmed with "Accident report" that all occupational laccidents and incidents are recorded and corrective actions were taken. - During the site audit, no critical danger was identified throughout the whole production processes. Therefore there is evidence that health and safety related accidents and violations are recorded and corrective action is taken when necessary. No immediate and serious dangers to personnel health or safety were identified.	including minor accidents are included. Records are complete and accurate.	All accidents including those subjected to coverage of workers' accident compensation insurance and minor lones not subjected to the insurance were recorded in "Accident report". Root cause analysis and corrective actions were also included. Accident reports were submitted to the Labour Standard Office too. Audit team confirmed with training record that corrective actions have been taken. On Feb 22, 2017, a worker fell off from a stepladder and had to stay in hospital for a month. On top of root cause analysis and corrective actions, are fixed as assessment workshop was commission to an external expert. A person in charge in the company participated in external training program. On Feb 28, 2017, a worker fell off from a stepladder and had to stay in hospital for a month. On top of root cause analysis and corrective actions are included. Records are complete and accurate. Accident reports (Feb 22, 2019 and Feb 28, Target level 2017). Accident report submitted to the Labour Standard Office. A record of the risk assessment workshop conducted by an expert of Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association (Sep 22, 2017). Accident reports (Feb 22, 2019 and Feb 28, Target level 2017). Accident report submitted to the Labour Standard Office. A record of the risk assessment workshop conducted by an expert of Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association (Sep 22, 2017). Accident reports (Feb 22, 2019 and Feb 28, Target level 2017). Accident report submitted to the Labour Standard Office. A record of the risk assessment workshop conducted by an expert of Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association (Sep 22, 2017). Accident report submitted to the Labour Standard Office. A record of the risk assessment workshop conducted by an expert of Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association (Sep 22, 2017). Accident report submitted to the Labour Standard Office. A record of the risk assessment workshop conducted by an expert of Japan Industrial Safety and Health Association (Sep 22, 2017). Accident report submit
		There is evidence that personnel Y lare trained effectively on health land safety topics related to their role, responsibilities and activities.	Audit team confirmed with "10th Training plan" that there are plans for imaintaining and enhancing knowledge and skills of workers regarding H&S for each section as well as records of past training. Therefore there is evidence that personnel are trained effectively on health and safety topics related to their role, responsibilities and activities.	Formal and regular training courses are undertaken. Y Risk assessments are documented and/or certified. Personnel are appointed to apply health and safety risk assessment, which may include an overseeing management committee, first aiders and/or fire marshals. Special risks associated with changing workplace or worker condition, such as expectant mothers, will have due consideration.	- "Annual Safety Meeting" is organized in which, risk prediction workshop is arranged to raise awareness of risks - Group H&S Management Regulation. I Employment Regulation section 8 "Health and Safety". Near-miss accidents are recorded whenever happened. Annual activity plan (in relation to safety). Annual activity plan (in relation to safety). Annual activity plan (in relation to safety). Annual safety meeting (July 5, 2017): report, materials used, photos. H&S organization chart. H&S flow chart. Group H&S management Regulation section 8 "Health and Safety". Annual activity plan (in relation to safety). Annual safety meeting (July 5, 2017): report, materials used, photos. H&S organization chart. H&S flow chart. H&S flow chart. Minutes of safety committee (March 2018 letc). Safety Committee and Health Committee are organized. Each section has committee members. Committee is assessment were recorded. During the site visit, regular inspection records of fire extinguisher were confirmed. Near-miss accidents are recorded whenever happened. Annual activity plan (in relation to safety). Annual safety meeting (July 5, 2017): report, materials used, photos. H&S flow chart. Minutes of safety committee (March 2018 letc). Minutes of safety committee (March 2018 letc). Minutes of health committee (Feb 2018 letc). Safety Datrol risk assessment, improvement records. Near-miss accident records (Dec 25 2017, Jan 5 and Feb 19 2018 etc). Risk Prediction Training records (Oct 25 jand Nov 2 2017, Feb 6 2018 etc).
					Fire drill was carried out in Sep 2017. Disaster-drills are to be implemented every 6 months. There is a self-fire-fighting team. Annual training plan for the FY 2018 was included in "self-fire-fighting training plan". Interview to workers demonstrated that sufficient care is in place for female workers (not only pregnant workers) to avoid physically hard works. Safety management structure has not been documented. Although it is not required by this standard, it is desired to document the safety management structure. From above, formal and regular training courses are undertaken. Risk assessments are documented and/or certified. Personnel are appointed to apply health and safety risk assessment, which may include an overseeing management committee, first aiders and/or fire marshals. Special risks associated with changing workplace or worker condition, such as expectant mothers, will have due consideration.
r I	Organisation esponsibility and nsurance provided for personnel accident or njury	No incidences of workers having Y to cover their own work-related medical expenses.	Audit team checked about the work related medical expenses for past accidents. It was confirmed that these expenses were paid by the company. Company has paid expenses even when staying in the hospital was not needed. From above, no incidences of workers having to cover their own work-related medical expenses.	insurance (accident or injury) for personnel medical costs in a job-related accident or injury, unless	Employment regulation section 9 specifies that the company is to compensate for any work-related medical expenses. Audit team confirmed that all workers including temporary employee and part-time workers are covered by the workers' accident compensation insurance as well as additional optional accident insurance that treatment (Feb 22 and 28, 2017). In group makes use of. Therefore, organisation is responsible and there is proof of insurance (accident or injury) for personnel medical costs in a job-related accident or injury, unless otherwise covered. This includes all seasonal workers. - records of application of workers' accident compensation insurances. - record of application of workers' accident compensation insurances. - record of application of workers' accident compensation insurances. - record of application of workers' accident compensation insurance (July 1, 2017) and its payment record (Feb 14, 2018).
4.5 Fair and decent wages	a. Fair and decent wages	The organisation pays at least Y the legally required minimum wage. Deductions in pay for disciplinary actions are not allowed and payments are made in a manner convenient to workers.	a. Minimum wage can be checked by visiting the website of Health, Labour and Welfare Ministry Okinawa Labour Office. Audit team confirmed that the managers acknowledged the current minimum wage. b. Audit team confirmed that the company keeps employment contracts, Wage ledger, working hours records. c. Minimum wage of Okinawa Prefecture was 737 yen / hour (as of 1st Oct 2017). Employment contracts and wage ledger for the previous 12 months were checked and it was confirmed that wage level was set well above the minimum wage and all workers were paid with higher wages than minimum wage. d. Wages and benefits are paid by bank transfer. Interview with workers demonstrated that there has been no problem regarding the payment.	1	a. In Japan the national government sets minimum wages for each prefecture based on the results of survey of cost of living every year. Therefore, the minimum wage in Japan is considered publicly as living wage. They are reviewed and revised every year. All workers of the company are paid with wages well above the minimum wage, that was checked by records for the previous 12 months. Auditor team confirmed the minimum necessary cost for a month's living. It was about 125,000 yen, and the necessary living wage was calculated to be about 722 yen per hour. Audit team concluded that the minimum wage is higher than this and that salary level well above the minimum wage is paid, therefore satisfies living wages. Wage is decided based on the methodology specified in employment regulation section 6 "Wage". Based on lannual personnel performance evaluation, wages for each worker is decided every year. In lit was confirmed with workers' list and employment contract that labour-only contracting arrangements, consecutive short-term contracts or false apprenticeship or other schemes to avoid meeting its obligations to personnel under applicable laws and regulations pertaining to labour and social security do not take place.

4.5 Fa

		In Japan's laws and customs, deductions in pay is permitted as a method of disciplinary measures. For that reason, the company also include a deduction in pay as a method of disciplinary action in the			Workers employed long time ago were not provided with the employment contract. The company should provide them with the employment contract.	- Labor Standards Act (revised 8 Jun 2018), Article 91 (Restrictions on Sanction Provisions)		
		employment regulations, which is a legal requirement. However, audit team confirmed by past punitive record and interviews with managers that there have been no cases of deduction of payment in the past and that they will not do in the future.			Therefore, the organisation pays a living wage and there are no labour-only contracting relationships.	- Employment regulation (revised on 25 Dec 2017), Article 57 (Types and methods of disciplinary action), 2) Reduction - How to treat the employment regulation		
		In addition, the company issued written policy "How to treat the employment regulation about deductions in pay for disciplinary actions." It clearly stated that the company would not deduct in pay for already completed labour as desciplinary actions. Future salaries may be changed with the consent of workers, but this is not				about deductions in pay for disciplinary lactions (by Yaeyama Shokusan, Nov 2018)		
4.6 Freedom of association a. Freedom of association and collective bargaining and collective bargaining laccess collective	ction unit restricting worker states to associate or bargain stively.	deductions in pay for disciplinary actions. a. Labour union has been established and in operation. Confirmed with Labour Union Regulation, Member list of labour union and interview to representative of the labour union. b. Audit team confirmed that the company's management respect the activities of the labour union through interview to representative of the labour union and workers. c. Audit team confirmed that the labour union can directly access all	freedom of association and collective bargaining has been minimised.		"Approach to employment and work" (established on March 2, 2018) specifies that the company does not restrict workers from joining unions, political parties and other parties except in case of unauthorized secondary occupation. It was confirmed that all workers have joined the labour union and they are considering to make necessary dialogues with the management from now on through interview to representative of the labour union. Therefore, there is evidence that the risk of restrictions to freedom of association and collective bargaining has been minimised.	Approach to employment and work interview to representative of the labour union.	Target level	
4.7 Disciplinary practices a. Disciplinary practices There is		workers freely through interview to representative of the labour union. Threfore, there are no incidences of the production unit restricting worker access to associate or bargain collectively. a. Audit team confirmed through interview to managers and workers.	The risk of notantial shuse around discipline have	<u> </u>	- Employment regulation section 7 "reward and punishment" clearly specifies.	- Record of disciplinary practices (in 2017, a	Target level	
lensure disciplin incidenc	e against abusive linary practices. No nces of tolerated abuse laken place.	that disciplinary practices are implemented in a fair way and there is	been minimised. There are clearly outlined procedures to raise, file and respond to a complaint of abuse in an effective manner. Management and workers are clear on the policy and procedures. Training is provided to supervisors on acceptable		 contact details in case of any harassment, discrimination or abusive disciplinary practices are clearly specified. Procedures for addressing complaints are included in "Complaint resolution procedure". 	caution was given as a document.). - Approach to employment and work - Complaint resolution procedure. - materials used for training on "Prevention of abuse, harassment and abusive disciplinary practices" (April 2018) - Interview to workers.		
to the le	legally required working vertime laws. All overtime is ary.	hours. Since August 2017, they started using finger print scanner to record working hours.	The organisation abides by industry norms. Overtime is not regular. Workers are provided with at least one day off following every six consecutive days of working.		Working hours are clearly specified in employment regulation section 4 "Work". Working hours during week days are 7 hours 20 min. Working hour on Saturday is 3 hours 20 min. There are sections which employ three shifts around the clock. The records of annual shifs are available. a. Audit team confirmed with time card, working hour records, wage ledger and interview to workers that working hours do not exceed international standard of 48 hours a week and overtime of 12 hours a week. During March to April 2016, some workers worked 12 hours of overtime work and some workers worked for 7 consecutive days. It was due to an unexpected issue that needed to be addressed. Currently there is no such overtime or consecutive working days. Confirmed by interview. b, c. There is no work at sea. Therefore, The organisation abides by industry norms. Overtime is not regular. Workers are provided with at least one day off following every six consecutive days of working.	time card (before July 2017) - Working hours record (after Aug 2017) - Wage ledger Interview to workers	Target level	
social training awareness and training product environ included disposa and ma	ction unit workers about nmental and social issues ed in this standard such as sal of waste, and prevention lanagement of chemical ydrocarbon spills, grievance dure.	chemical and hydrocarbon spills, operation procedures have been developed and training is provided by on-the-job training . Interview with workers in charge of these activities demonstrated good			is fixed for the second half of this year, a training plan is to be developed. b. Interview to workers demonstrated that the company is proactively providing opportunities for workers to obtain necessary qualifications or participate in appropriate training depending on the work of workers. c. Regarding waste treatment, prevention and management of chemical and hydrocarbon spills, operation		Target level	
		c. Audit team confirmed with relevant records, site visit, interview to managers and workers that the company adhere to the regulations and plans regarding chemical and fuel management, working conditions and social impacts. Therefore, it is considered that information is delivered to production unit workers about environmental and social issues included in this standard such as disposal of waste, and prevention and management of chemical and hydrocarbon spills, grievance procedure.			Therefore, there is evidence of environmental and social awareness and training, in production unit workers, sufficient for them to properly dispose of waste, and prevent and manage chemical and hydrocarbon spills, or to lodge a grievance.			

. .

- - -

15.	Community impacts	a. Community impact	An assessment of the production ¹ Y unit community impact is conducted, and if determined necessary by the assessment, an independent p-SIA is conducted.	I'mpact assessment on stakeholders of Yaeyama Shokusan Co., Ltd' has been developed. Stakeholders include employees, local community, related businesses, local organizations etc. It was assessed that there is no impact on stakeholders. a i . Local people can submit comments to Yaeyama Shokusan directly by phone call, fax, post and via website. The company is always welcome to receive comments. However, both the company and local community recognize that there is no impact caused by the company on local community. So there has been no comment from local community to the company so far. In order to proactively communicate with local community, the company contribute to the local community by participating in event, providing lectures at schools, sponsoring festivals and events and co-hosting marathon and triathlon events. iii. Interview confirmed that both the company and local community recognize that there is no impact caused by the company on local community. Interview was also conducted to a symbolic ENGO of the area, WWF Shiraho Coral village. The company made declaration and agreements with Shiraho area about not causing any pollution when establishing the factories. Results of the assessment are available upon request.	community impact assessment are being timplemented and the production unit is shown to have positive social benefits for the community.		measures against the impact. b. Currently there is no impact on local community identified. To make sure there is no impact in the future, the company is making active communication with the local community.	- Impact assessment on stakeholders of Yaeyama Shokusan Co., Ltd I- Consultation to Shiraho Coral Village (conducted on Feb 19, 2018) - Employees list - Interview to local community.	Target level	
				iii. Local community can always freely submit comments to the company. Iv. There is no need for considering alternatives as there is no risk or actual impact identified. v. Research results or reports do not exist as no impact is identified. vi. No need to change. vii. No issue need to be mitigated. viii. No negative impact. b. Interview confirmed both the company representative and local community representative recognize that there is no impact caused by the company on local community. c. Impact assessment was conducted by employees and the president of the company. d. Opportunities for communicating with local community is highly meaningful. Local community representative also participate in local festivals and events. e. As aforementioned, the company makes use of various opportunities and exchange opinions with local community at lest twice a year. f. Local community and stakeholders recognize that there is no						
5.	2 Conflict resolution		The management system Incorporates or is subject by law to a mechanism for the resolution of legal disputes arising within the system.	impact caused by the company on local community. a. No dispute regarding social issues has been received. b. Local people can submit comments to Yaeyama Shokusan directly by phone call, fax, post and via website. The company is always welcome to receive comments. When a legal appeal is made, the mediation and litigation procedures are made at the court based on the laws such as the civil arbitration law and the civil procedure litigation law, and settlement will be attempted. The company is also within these legal frameworks. Therefore, the management system is subject by law to a mechanism for the resolution of legal disputes arising within the system.	of legal disputes, which is considered to be effective in dealing with most issues and that is appropriate to the context of the UoA.		"Dispute resolution procedure" of Euglena group is in place. Depending on the nature of dispute, dispute resolution group is organized from a certain section or from several sections. In case if legal actions are required, the company is to follow the requirements of national laws. When a legal appeal is made, the mediation and litigation procedures are made at the court based on the laws such as the civil arbitration law and the civil procedure litigation law, and settlement will be attempted. The company is also within these legal frameworks. In Japan, the arbitration and litigation procedures are transparent, functioning effectively against most problems, and also appropriate to the context of the UoA. Therefore, the management system is subject by law to a transparent mechanism for the resolution of legal disputes, which is considered to be effective in dealing with most issues and that is appropriate to the context of the UoA.	- Dispute resolution procedure	Target level	
		o. Roles and esponsibilities	Organisations and individuals Y involved in the management process have been identified. Functions, roles and responsibilities are generally understood.	"Dispute resolution procedure" has been documented which specify contact details, responsible personnel, handing procedures. Understanding of the procedure among managers has been progressing. Therefore, organisations and individuals involved in the management process have been identified. Functions, roles and responsibilities are generally understood.	defined and well understood for key areas of responsibility and interaction/s.	Υ	Dispute resolution procedure" has been documented which specify contact details, responsible personnel, handing procedures. Functions, roles and responsibilities are explicitly defined and well understood for key areas of responsibility and interaction/s. There is no instructions on recording method or reporting method. The company should make clear recording method and reporting method.	- Dispute resolution procedure	Target level	
		c. Consultation process	The management system Includes consultation processes that obtain relevant information from the main affected parties, including local communities and knowledge, to inform the management system.	a. "Dispute resolution procedure" has been documented which specify that dispute from external individual / organization can be received via phone, e-mail and website. b. There was no local person who made any dispute to the company. Local people interviewed recognized that they can contact the company if needed. c. Since the company has never been asked about the dispute resolution procedure from local community, and there has been no issues for many years, the company has not provided the procedure. If provided, the records are to be kept. Therefore the management system includes consultation processes that obtain relevant information from the main affected parties, including local communities and knowledge, to inform the management system.	transparency and consideration of the information obtained.		Dispute resolution procedure" has been documented which include a policy on dispute resolution. The company makes use of various opportunities and exchange opinions with local community at lest twice a year. So far no dispute has been raised. The management system includes consultation processes that regularly seek and accept relevant information, including local communities and knowledge. The management system demonstrates transparency and consideration of the information obtained.		Target level	

	d. Participation	be involved.	a. As mentioned in 5.2c) above, "Dispute resolution procedure" has been documented which specify that dispute from external individual a organization can be received via phone, e-mail and website. Also it include a policy on dispute resolution. Therefore, the management system includes consultation process to provides opportunity for all affected stakeholders to be involved. b. Communication with local community does not discriminate any particular person/groups. Local people interviewed recognized that they can contact the company if needed. Therefore, the consultation process provides opportunity for all interested and affected parties to be involved.	No target specified for this Pt. If minimum in met the target should be considered met.		- Dispute resolution procedure - Interview to local community.	Minimum level
i5.3 Rights of indigenous people	people	There is evidence that the rights IY of indigenous people are respected by the production unit (where applicable to growing area) and attempts are made to	There are no indigenous people in the region.	No target specified for this PI, If minimum in met the target should be considered met.			Minimum level
5.4 Visibility, positioning and orientation of production units or water-	a. Compliance with navigational rules and regulations	Production units allow access for N/A other resource users as prescribed by custom or law.	Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures in the wild aquatic environment.	Production units proactively facilitate access for N/A other water users.	Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures in the wild aquatic environment.		N/A
based structures	b. Positioning of Iproduction unit sites		Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures in the wild aquatic environment.	Visible structures of production units are arranged in N/A la uniform orientation and position, except where specified by law.	Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures In the wild aquatic environment.		N/A
5.5 Identification and recovery of substantial gear	Identification of substantial gear	ngegringd by greaton or law. There is evidence that all Substantial gear is identifiable to production unit.	Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures in the wild aquatic environment.				N/A
	b. Gear recovery	There is evidence that gear N/A recovery is conducted by the production unit.	Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures in the wild aquatic environment.	The production unit ensures that they maintain the proper equipment and /or mechanisms for recovering lost gear.	Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures in the wild aquatic environment.		N/A
	c. Float use	production unit. Floats are securely attached so that they do	Not applicable as it is a land-based system (category C) and they do not use any substantial gear or structures in the wild aquatic environment.				N/A
5.6 Noise, light and odour	a. Noise, light and odour	not become togs. There are some measures that Y Ican help minimise operational noise, light and odour as appropriate to local custom.	"Safe and healthy work specification" specifies operations that generate noise and clearly states measures. Interview to local community confirmed that there is no issue of noise or light. Noise is generated to some extent inside the facility around machines, but it was confirmed that noise did not reach to outside the facility. Depending on the weather and wind directions, they can detect some odor of the facility but the degree is not at the level of any concern. Because there is no operational noise, light or odour to community, measures that can help minimise them are not needed.		Interview to local community confirmed that there is no issue of noise or light. Noise is generated to some exten inside the facility around machines, but it was confirmed that noise did not reach to outside the facility. Depending on the weather and wind directions, they can detect some odor of the facility but the degree is not at the level of any concern. Therefore, there is evidence that noise, light and odour originating from the production unit are minimised in areas where it may impact others.	1	Target level
5.7 Abandoned production Junits	a. Abandoned production lunits	for clearing up any unused	Yaeyama Shokusan has been operating for 40 years at this place, and it is unlikely to abandon the production unit, but in the event that they decide to abandon (sell) the land by any chance, based on an agreement with the buyer of the land, production units that are no longer used will be removed. This is a conventional mechanism of normal land transactions. Therefore, there is a mechanism in place for clearing up any unused production units.	No target specified for this PI, If minimum in met the larget should be considered met.			Minimum level